# REVIEW OF QA PROJECT PLANS FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PROGRAMS

### **Purpose**

This RRES Division procedure describes the processes for obtaining peer review and organizational review of Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPP) within the environmental groups of the division.

## Scope

This procedure applies to the development or revision of QAPPs for all projects and programs within the Environmental Protection Program groups of RRES (including MAQ, WQH, SWRC, and ECO).

# In this procedure

This procedure addresses the following major topics:

Topic	See Page
General Information About this Procedure	2
Who Requires Training to this Procedure?	2
Preparing and revising a QA Project Plan	4
Records Resulting from this Procedure	5

## Hazard Control Plan

The hazard evaluation associated with this work is documented in the appropriate HCP of the employee's group.

## **Signatures**

Prepared by:	Date:
Terry Morgan, MAQ QA Officer	2/10/03
Approved by:  Doug Bareh, RRES Environmental Protection Programs Manager	Date: 2/(0/07
Allyn Pratt, Division IM Leader	Date: 2/10/03
Approved by  Beverly Ramsey, RES Division Director	Date: 9/24/23
7)	02/10/03

### CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

## General information about this procedure

#### Attachments

This procedure has the following attachments:

Attach.		No. of			
Number	Attachment Title				
1	QA Project Plan Traveler	1			
2	Comment Resolution Form	2			

## History of revision

This table lists the revision history of this procedure.

Revision	Effective Date	Description of Changes
0	2/24/03	New document.

# Who requires training to this procedure?

The following personnel require training before implementing this procedure

- Preparers of QAPPs
- RRES environmental protection program project leaders
- RRES environmental protection program group leaders

# Training method

Training to this procedure will be by "self-study" (reading) and will be documented in accordance with the employee's group's procedure for training.

# Definitions specific to this procedure

<u>Quick-change revisions</u>: changes to a plan that do not affect the safety aspects of the process, do not substantially affect the technical aspects of the plan or procedure, and do not substantially affect those who follow the document or use the results.

#### References

The following documents are referenced in this procedure:

• RRES-IMP-EPP, "Integrated Management Plan for the Environmental Protection Programs"

### Note

Actions specified within this procedure, unless preceded with "should" or "may," are to be considered mandatory instruction (i.e., "shall").

## Preparing and revising a QA Project Plan

#### Overview

The RRES Division Integrated Management Plan for the Environmental Protection Programs (RRES-IMP-EPP) calls for a peer review of all Program QAPPs. Follow the process outlined below for preparing new QA Project plans and for revising existing plans.

## Determine need for a new QA Project plan

Any **project leader** or **other manager** in one of the Environmental Protection Program groups, in cooperation with the group leader and program manager, identifies the need for a project plan by completing Part 1 of a QA Project Plan Traveler (attachment 1).

# Concur with need for plan

The **group leader** concurs with the need for the QA project plan by signing the traveler and forwarding it to the RRES Division Environmental Protection Programs Manager.

# Identify required reviewers

The **group leader** and **program manager** determine who must review the plan and enter the names on the traveler in Part 2 and Part 3.

## Obtain reviews

When ready, the **preparer** sends the draft plan and a comment resolution form to each reviewer for review. As a guide, reviewers should review against the criteria given immediately below (not intended to be all-inclusive criteria).

## Review criteria

**Reviewers** should review QAPPs against the following criteria:

- What protection program decisions does this QAPP support?
- Are the DQOs defensible and linked to program decisions?
- Are the roles and responsibilities clear?
- Does the scope of work delineate between institutional and facility/operations areas of responsibility?
- Who owns the liability (NNSA or UC or both)?
- Does the scope match the requirements?
- Are all regulatory requirements covered in the QAPP?
- Are the regulatory deliverables spelled out?
- Does the work described meet the standards in the EPP-QMP?

## Preparing and revising a QA Project Plan, continued

# Recording reviewer comments

**Reviewers** may record any concerns with the document on the comment resolution form (Attachment 2) or mark a copy of the draft. If the forms are used, reviewers should complete these forms electronically, but hard copies are also acceptable. When the reviews are complete, the **reviewers** return comments to the preparer.

## Resolve comments

The **preparer** addresses and resolves all reviewer comments as necessary to reach agreement with all required reviewers. <u>After all</u> reviewers are satisfied with the resolution of their comments, the **preparer** obtains their signatures on the traveler.

#### File traveler

When completed, the **preparer** sends the completed traveler, any comment resolution forms used, and the final document to the division records coordinator for filing.

Risk Reduction and	RRES-DO-103, R0
Environmental Stewardship	Page 5 of 5
Los Alamos National Laboratory	

## Records resulting from this procedure

### Records

The following records generated as a result of this procedure are to be submitted **within two weeks of the effective date** to the division records coordinator:

- Copy of approved procedure (unnecessary for deletions of procedures)
- QA Plan Traveler (attachment 1)
- Any Comment Resolution forms used by reviewers

	on Environmental Protection Prog DJECT PLAN TRAVEL	ER
Part 1 (completed by any project leader)		This form is from RRES-DO-10
	Plan Identifier:	Revision:
Plan title:		
Action Requested: New plan	Major revision of existing plan	Deletion of existing plan
Description of and reason for action:	Quick-change revision of existing	plan (parts 3 and 5 N/A)
Signature	Name (print)	 Date
Part 2 (completed by appropriate group		24.0
Assigned preparer: this plan and others who should review it (indicate Reviewers:	e "optional"):	
Signature	Name (print)	 Date
Reviewers:		
Signature	Name (print)	Date
Part 4 (completed by preparer) Draft prepared and sent for formal review on: have been resolved with each reviewer, obtain sig	. Comments resolved natures of the reviewers in part 5.	on: After comments
<b>Part 5</b> (signed by required reviewers: NA lattest that all my comments and concerns have ly version of the plan.	A for quick-change revisions)	d, and/or incorporated into the final
Signature	Name (print)	Date
Signature (Use back side for additional signatures)	Name (print)	Date

Risk Reduction and Environmental Stewardship	RRES-DO-103, R0
Los Alamos National Laboratory	Attachment 2, page 1 of 1

			7	PEER REVIEI	W COMME	PEER REVIEW COMMENTS/RESOLUTIONS	NS	
								Page 1 of 2
Par	t 1 (to	pe c	Part 1 (to be completed by the preparer)	reparer)				Date:
Title:						# QI		Rev. #:
Com	Comments are due by:	are du			(Date)			
Retu	ırı com	ments	Return comments or questions to (Prepar <u>er/Author):</u>	rer/Author):		Phone:	FAX:	
			AND					
Par	t 2 (to t	ne com	Part 2 (to be completed by the Reviewer)			<u>'</u>		
Revi	Reviewer's Name (Print):	Name	(Print):		Group:	MS:		
Rece	Received on (Date):	n (Date)		Review completed on:	Phone:	: Signature:		
# fnəmmoO	Location	ZO/M	Reviewer's Comment/Suggestion	YSuggestion	% R A Preparer's Pr	Preparer's Proposed Revision/Resolution	Final Resolution	
					<u> </u>			
¹page,	¹page, paragraph, line	h, line	$^{2}M = mandatory / O = optional$		$^{3}$ A = accept / R = reject			

RRES-DO-103, R0	Risk Reduction and Environmental Stewardship
Attachment 1, page 2 of 2	Los Alamos National Laboratory

	Page 2 of 2						
SA				Final Resolution			
PEER REVIEW COMMENTS/RESOLUTIONS		Reviewer:	- reject	Preparer's Proposed Revision/Resolution			
× C			= accept / R = reject	A/R³			
PEER REVIEI			$^{2}M = \text{mandatory / O} = \text{optional}$	Reviewer's Comment/Suggestion			
			ph, line	<sub>z</sub> O/W			
			¹page, paragraph, line	Location			
		Title:	¹page,	# Juəmmoɔ			

## RRES Division Environmental Protection Programs QA PROJECT PLAN TRAVELER This form is from RRES-DO-103 Part 1 (completed by any project leader) Plan Identifier: Revision: Plan title: Deletion of existing plan Action Requested: New plan Major revision of existing plan Quick-change revision of existing plan (parts 3 and 5 N/A) Description of and reason for action: Signature Name (print) Date Part 2 (completed by appropriate group leader) \_. Affected internal teams, programs, groups, or individuals required to review Assigned preparer: this plan and others who should review it (indicate "optional"): Reviewers: Signature Name (print) Date Part 3 (completed by Environmental Protection Program Manager) Confer with Environmental Protection Program leaders. Affected external teams, programs, groups, or individuals required to review this plan and others who should review it (indicate "optional"): Reviewers: Signature Name (print) Date **Part 4** (completed by preparer) Draft prepared and sent for formal review on: \_\_\_\_\_\_. Comments resolve have been resolved with each reviewer, obtain signatures of the reviewers in part 5. \_. Comments resolved on: \_\_\_\_\_ . After comments **Part 5** (signed by required reviewers: NA for quick-change revisions) I attest that all my comments and concerns have been satisfactorily discussed, resolved, and/or incorporated into the final version of the plan. Signature Name (print) Date Date Signature Name (print) Signature Name (print) Date Signature Name (print) Date Signature Name (print) Date

Preparer: After all reviewers have signed above section, submit this form with copy of draft and final plan to records coordinator.

Name (print)

Date

Signature

(Use back side for additional signatures)